UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/536,642 | 05/27/2005 | David N. Roundhill | US020471US | 4312 |
| 24737 7590 07/14/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BEKELE, MEKONEN T | |
| BKIARCLIFF I | BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/14/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/536,642 | ROUNDHILL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MEKONEN BEKELE | 2624 | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co | over sheet with the correspondence address |
|--|---|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN | I CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods: | amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the fin- | al rejection. |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX M Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHE MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In IONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the perhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statuset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mor may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS | (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the | e date of filing a brief, will not be entered because |
| (a) ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a finial rejection, but prior to the | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or | ppeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding | រ number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33) | * ** |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attach | ned Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if sub non-allowable claim(s). | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below of The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient rea was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Al entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all reshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not | ejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT p | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) 13. ☐ Other: | Paper No(s) |
| /MF | KONEN BEKELE/ |
| | niner, Art Unit 2624 |
| | |
| | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new added limitation in claims 1 and 12 require further consideration and search. .